



**The Betting System Truths
Weekly Members Newsletter**

**Testing out Systems, Strategies and Tips
– so that you don't have to!**

Wednesday 1st February 2012

Edition 89

**Edited by
Rich**

CONTENTS

Introduction	Page 2
New Reviews –	
Golf Investor Club	Page 3
Triple H Horseracing	Page 5
Final Reviews –	
ZH Subscribe	Page 7
Betting Pro Trades	Page 10
Stateside Sports Pro	Page 12
TFT Gold, Silver, LTD	Page 15
TFT The Paper Chaser	Page 18
TFT The Underdog	Page 21
Current Reviews	Page 23
League Table	Page 27
Profitability Graph	Page 28
Next Week	Page 29

INTRODUCTION

Hi Folks,

This week we have two New Reviews and four Final Reviews. “ZH Subscribe” has passed with 4 stars. Unfortunately “Betting Pro Trades” and “Stateside Sports Pro” both failed their reviews. “Total Football Trading” otherwise known as “TFT” has passed our three reviews. These three reviews covered 5 of the 10 strategies included in the package overall. I will be putting together a Final review for the whole package next week, meanwhile this week, you can read the 3 separate TFT reviews.

Among our Current Reviews, this week, BettingFlow has shot to the top of the chart, but it is early days. Magic Backing, Greyhound Lay Pro and Place Bet Pro have dipped a bit this week, but are still doing quite well.

We’d like to hear from you, please contact me by e-mail via the Bloggers Corner at rich@bettingsystemtruths.com

New Reviews

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



Golf Investor Club Introduction

26th Jan 2012



Insp Steve Introduces Golf Investor Club

Hi Bloggers,

Inspector Steve here, I have previously done reviews on LTO for Sports Betting Professor and Racing Tipsters and am currently reviewing Cricket Tipster. I am also currently conducting a review on BST as well for Place Bet Pro. My name is Steve and I am 42 years old and live in Melbourne, Australia. I have been interested in betting on horses for over 20 years now and have picked up sports betting as well in the past 5 or so years. I started doing sports arbitrage full time about 6 years ago and then moved to the UK and was trading horse racing for a couple of years. Back in Australia now and am still betting in my spare time and follow a couple of systems that seem reasonably good but haven't yet led me to betting being my source of income, which is my ultimate goal. Well my ultimate goal is to get my golf handicap into single figures but you get the gist.

Anyway, on to the introduction. *Golf Investor Club* is a product from the BetFan team that sends out tips using spread bets in golf finishing position markets. There are 3 main companies that offer a huge array of spread bets – Sporting Index, Spreadex and Sportsreads. Sporting Index will be the main bookie used and bets will be with them unless otherwise stated. For this service, the bets to be struck will always be "Finishing Positions". If

you go into this option you will find anything up to 80 golfers you can bet on.

Spread Betting

For those of you that are not familiar with spread betting, it is similar to futures trading. You can buy or sell a result and the end result is multiplied by your stake for either a win or a loss. For example, Tiger Woods may be available to sell at 24 or buy at 27. Selling in golf spread betting means you want the player to do well, buying means you want him to do badly. This is totally different to most other spread bets where the opposite is the case! In our case we would be buying Tiger Woods at 27 for say £1.

If he wins the tournament then his finishing position is 1 and you lose £26 i.e. $(27-1=26)$ If however he misses the cut his finishing position will be 70 and you will win £43 i.e. $(70-27=43)$ 70 is the highest position achievable for the vast majority of Finishing position bets and effectively acts as a safety net for sellers. This safety net is one of the reasons why most spread bettors sell rather than buy.

So that's it basically, we wait for the tips every week, put the bets on and see how we go. The vendor has stated that you will not win every week but expects to do well over the course of the year. We'll start off with a betting bank of 1000 points with stakes advised by the vendor.

OK, let's do it!

Regards

[\[Click here to see Golf Investor Club ...\]](#)

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#) 

Triple H Horseracing – Introduction



25th January 2012

Sgt Colin Introduces Triple H Horseracing

Hi, Sgt Colin here, with my fourth review for BettingSystemtruths.com & the sister website LayTheOdds.com. I am going to review the Triple H Horseracing service, which is available through Steve Davidson, but is written by "Zen". This is a horseracing system which provides rules for selecting horses to win or place in certain UK handicap races. It is available for a one off payment of £69.95. The system does not come on a "pdf", but is an encrypted download which prevents copying.

Without giving too much away, Triple H Horseracing requires you to make selections by comparing bookmakers & Betfair odds, both for win & place bets, and placing bets with the bookie when certain criteria are met. The system states that c90 minutes may be required for the selection process, prior to racing starting.

However, if that is too much trouble, Zen provides a selection service for the following prices: £47 per month, £99 for 3 months if you don't buy the system, and £30 per month, £70 for 3 months if you also acquire it.

I'm going to monitor the selection service, and also attempt to make some selections independently. Note that the nature of the selection process means that I would not necessarily make the same selections.

I will be monitoring two separate sets of results – resulting from different classes of handicap race, and the use of both level staking and a recommended staking plan for each.

As I write this, I have already been monitoring performance for 5 days.

Initial thoughts are that the system is well thought out, but that it is very time critical, and it is likely that when there are a significant number of meetings the selection process could be time consuming. Triple H

Horseracing selections have been received effectively – the service so far has been very good.

Some potential issues are that:

a) the system will only work fully efficiently if you have a significant number of bookmakers' accounts (the manual assumes 10, recommends 17)

b) it will be difficult to always achieve the odds recommended, as they will tend to be outliers and are likely to disappear in a similar way to arbitrage betting.

I look forward to seeing how the system works over our trial period, which will initially be 56 days, and which may be extended to 84 days if the results are promising.

[\[Click here to see Triple H Horseracing\]](#)

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



Final Reviews

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



ZH Subscribe – Final Review



26th January 2012

Passed: ★★★★★☆

Inspector DJ – Reviews ZH Subscribe

Hello Bloggers,

ZH Subscribe is a subscription based lay tipping service for football.

The team at *ZH Subscribe* offer a fourteen day free trial, then a payment choice of £15 per month, or £150 per year.

Their website clearly states that no refunds will be made, and recommends that new subscribers take a monthly deal initially, to make sure that they are happy with the service, before extending their subscription, should they so wish.

They currently concentrate on seven major leagues across the globe:

England's Premier League and Championship
Italy's Serie A
Spain's La Liga
Norway's Tippaligaen
Major League Soccer from the States
and Brazil's Campeonato

During the course of the test, one French Ligue 1 game was advised, but none from Serie A and Major League Soccer.

When I started the review, according to the results shown on the website, there had been ten selections for August and September, with an expectation of eight to sixteen selections a month, which looked ideal for those that do not wish to be overloaded with bets.

This review covered a twelve week period, as there was not bets every day.

Zero Hype Subscribe also offer the opportunity to receive selections via SMS to advise when a selection has been issued, and appears from the instruction video to take a couple of minutes to set up.

Each selection will advise a liability to be risked on each selection, together with advice as to when the bet should be traded, hopefully when the plan goes in the right direction.

The review started with two banks of £500, one to trade with, the other to have straight lay bets from.

The results were as follows:

Trading Bank:

Start balance: £500.00.

Total profit: £77.34.

Final balance: £577.34

Matches traded: 20

Wins: 19

Loss: 1

Strike Rate: 95.0%

Betting Bank:

Start balance: £500.00.

Total profit: £64.79.

Final balance: £564.79

Matches layed: 20

Wins: 18

Loss: 2

Strike Rate: 90.0%

Combined:

Start balance: £1000.00

Total profit: £142.13

Final balance: £1142.13

Total liabilities: £1039.98

Return on liability: 13.7%

Profitability

A return from the service of well in excess of 10% is good, it must be borne in mind that you have to pay the subscription fees from this, and with the £1000 bank we used here, that would have reduced the profit to just under £100, and a return on liability of just under 10%.

Of course, with a larger bank still, the profits would be greater.

Rating 4.0.

Ease of use

Very straightforward. The emails arrive in plenty of time to allow bets to be placed, and on most occasions, the odds advised to lay at were either available immediately, or matched before kick off. The one time that really sticks in my mind was the French Ligue 1 game, but even then, that was picked up in the first five minutes of the game.

With trading, you need to be at or around your computer to operate the service, but there are websites that will alert you to a goal having been scored.

Rating 3.5.

Risk

In my opinion, the guys behind [ZH Subscribe](#) do as much as possible, in terms of checking stats and team news before issuing a selection. On more than one occasion, all the boxes had not been ticked from their point of view, and a selection was not then advised.

Trading does carry risks of course, and it is always advisable not to just have one option to be able to exit the trade if that is method of operating the selections provided.

Each email contained full advice as to what the odds to be used were and when to trade out. During the course of the game, tweets and further emails sent, just in case further advise or confirmation was needed.

Rating 4.0.

Time Required.

Potentially up to two hours per selection as a maximum. The emails arrive in plenty of time, and as I said during the course of the review, the guys behind ZH subscribe send emails on a regular basis to keep their members informed as to their thoughts as to when a selection may be offered.

Overall rating 4.0.

The only thing I could be disappointed with this service was that there were not more trades. 18 of the 20 selections were sent on a Saturday or Sunday. I cannot say why this is the case, it could be down to any number of reasons, perhaps liquidity at the weekend is better, there are certainly more matches, and perhaps, the quality of information relating to injuries and team news in general is easier to find.

This service makes a profit. As a member, you can make the choice between straight lay bets or trading, and during the course of the review, there was one more loss on the straight lays over the trades. At the end of the review, there was a small difference between the two.

Overall, the review of the ZH Subscribe service was successful, and appears from the limited number of selections seen, to be a steady builder of a bank.

Until the next time,

Inspector DJ

[\[Click here to see Zero Hype Subscribe\]](#)

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



Betting Pro Trades – Final Review

31st January 2012

Betting Pro Trades



Inspector DJ – Reviews Betting Pro Trades

Hello Bloggers,

Betting Pro Trades is a subscription based trading service. Looking at the previous results on their website, the majority of the selections are for football, with the occasional selection for other sports.

The website proclaims:

Here at Betting Pro Trades, we provide users with the exact same trades as we do on a daily basis. We aim to provide some of the best betting / trading information available daily and anyone from an experienced trader to a total newbie can use our service.

The cost of the service is shown on the front page of the website at £17.49 per month, plus in the UK, an additional cost of VAT at £3.50. Of course,

VAT (or sales tax) varies from country to country, so please double check the information applicable to your part of the world.

If you would like a two-week free trial of the service, contact can be made with Betting Pro Trades by email, without any payment details. There is also a 60-day moneyback guarantee with Clickbank.

Each selection will advise the stake to be placed, when to trade out, and should things not go the way expected, what stop loss is to be placed.

The review started with a £1,000 bank, which was increased to £2,000, after we added the additional amount in the second week of December, as the Betting Pros website shows an "example" bank of £2,000, which also allowed the review to continue.

Profitability

The final results of this review were:

Selections: 142 (11 voided or scratched)

Wins: 89

Strike Rate: 62.7%

Starting Bank: £2,000.00

Loss: £1,190.20

Final balance: £809.80.

The bank lost almost 60% of its value during the course of this review.

I did keep an approximate calculation of the trades at level stakes, and with stakes of £10 per trade, the loss would have been around £93, which would still have been a loss, but perhaps a little easier to recover from and to accept.

Ease of use

The selections were provided in good time for the bets to be placed, usually early in the morning, and on a handful of occasions, the night before.

However, when trading on football, matches take place at various times of the day, especially at the weekend, and potentially you could be trading the selections from early in the morning (the earliest kick off was 11:30), to very late in the evening (the latest kick off was a Brazil match which kicked off at 10:30pm).

Risk

This is where I feel the review failed. A number of traders will risk say 5% of their bank on a trade, which would have meant a liability here of £100. On a number of occasions during the review, the initial risk was far more

than this, especially laying the draw, and although a stop loss was normally deployed, this was not always the case.

Conclusion

The overwhelming fact of this review is that nearly 60% of the bank was lost, which would take some time to recover from. Both in the mindset as well as the bank balance.

Regrettably, the Betting Pros service will have to be consigned to the failed category.

Until the next time,

Inspector DJ

[\[Click here to see Betting Pro Trades\]](#)

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



Stateside Sports Pro Final Review



28th January 2012



Sgt Jonny Reviews Stateside Sports Pro

Hi Bloggers, this is the final review of Stateside Sports Pro (soccer selections). Stateside Sports Pro is a tipping service run by Cory Daniels. It offers multiple football selections daily from leagues across several countries. Over the 84 days the selections have ended with a net loss using both the recommended staking plan and one point level stakes. Therefore it is has failed.

However, I feel that I should point out, that the review only takes account of the results during the review period, the service did proof results to BST

for 3 months prior to this. Taking in to account these results, the service would have finished in profit.

STATISTICS:

Recommended staking plan: (2% stakes, recalculated daily)

Starting Bank: 100 Pts

Number of Bets: 243

Loss: 44.78 Pts

End Bank: 55.22 Pts

Level Stakes: (1 point per bet)

Starting Bank: 100 Pts

Number of Bets: 243

Loss: 27.46 Pts

End Bank: 72.54 Pts

Including the proofed results (from 1st July) the bank finished at 147.98 Pts which would be a profit. Here are some additional statistics from the review period:

Total Staked:

Recommended Stakes: 368 Pts

Level Stakes: 241 Pts

Strike Rate:

Total Bets: 243

Winning Bets: 101

Losing Bets: 125

Void/Tied Bets: 17

Average Odds: 2.11

Strike Rate: 41.6%

My personal feeling about the service is that it gives out too many tips and from too wider range of leagues. The only way to profit from betting is to find value (when the odds offered are higher than the true odds of the given result happening), and I think it would be hard for even the very best tipsters in the world to find three football matches a day where the odds fulfil this condition. Cory has tipped matches in something like twenty different countries, and I struggle to believe that one person can have this much detailed knowledge about football.

On the plus side, Cory has been very easy to communicate with the couple of times I've emailed him.

Finally, this review only considers Cory Daniels' soccer tips. I understand that BST is also reviewing Cory's tips in other sports, which may turn out to be more profitable than this part of the service.

I hope this review is helpful to people who are considering purchasing Stateside Sports Pro Soccer Tips.

Regards,

Sgt Jonny

Sgt Jonny Reviewing Stateside Sports Pro


[\[Click here to visit Stateside Sports Pro - 4 week free trial available\]](#)

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#) 

TFT Gold, Silver and LTD – Final Review



Discover The Secrets To Football Trading!

**Learn The Strategies That Earn The
Professionals over £100k per year!**

31/01/2012

Sgt Magnifique reviews TFT Gold Silver and LTD

Rating: 

12 weeks of the trial are gone and it's time to see what we've got at the end.

Profitability:

Two of the strategies (Golden Goal and Silver Goal) turned a profit, and one (LTD) showed a loss. The final figures are:

Gold +94.5 pts (to 30 pts stakes) in 30 matches

Silver +148 pts (to 30 pts liability (20 pts stakes)) in 41 matches

LTD -23.45 pts (to 30 pts stakes) in 18 matches

P/L on all three: +219.05

As you see, the profit figures are nowhere near big. Looking at a number of matches, you might want to ask if it's worth at all, and this would be a good question. The results are suggesting:

Gold +3.15 pts per match (10.5% of the initial stake)

Silver +3.6 pts per match (8.8% of the initial stake)

LTD -1.3 pts per match (-7.22% of the initial stake)

Not bad actually for the first two, but bear in mind that both are betting rather than trading. If they were trading systems, the results would be looking a lot better.

Another issue I have is that I feel a longer distance is needed. 20-30 matches is clearly not enough to judge how well a system performs. This is especially related to LTD.

2 out of 5

Ease of use:

Pretty straightforward rules, haven't encountered any difficulty following them. However, match selection is very subjective at times and unfortunately this is 80% of what determines your results, even though the manual gives good guidelines. LTD possesses the least subjectivity, Golden Goal goes after it and Silver Goal seemed the most subjective.

4 out of 5

Risk:

None of the three banks had a danger of suffering substantial losses. The advice to use 3% per bet/trade makes it quite safe to use the strategies. The only way to lose a lot is to be bad or unlucky at match selection.

3 out of 5

Return on investment (ROI):

Gold 10.5%

Silver 14.35%

LTD -4.35%

Since the odds you make your lays at are low, the figures look ok, but not really impressive.

3 out of 5

Support and documentation:

Manuals contain clear descriptions of what, when and why you should do, but there's a problem common to a lot of football betting/trading manuals – selections of matches depends on you a great deal. I feel the guidelines on this could be more exact.

Can't say anything of support as I didn't need it during the trial.

3 out of 5

Time needed to apply the system:

Although you bet during 2nd half only or at half-time you need to monitor games for potential qualifiers. With some of those strategies you also need to watch the translation of the 2nd half. Unfortunately, you can't pick your potential selections beforehand – the opportunities may appear or may not appear in a game. It's not as time-consuming as some other football strategies, but not a minute work either.

2 out of 5

All in all, the strategies are not bad, but I would recommend using them as a part of a little systems portfolio. This way they are worth a go, otherwise probably not – too much time and effort for modest returns. Besides, I think they need to be modified. The LTD strategy, the most straightforward of all (the one that I followed letter to letter), gave the worst result, while Silver Goal, the most subjective one, showed the best. I guess, is the reason behind it is match selection. A person with different view could have picked different games. This could be eliminated by a number of new strict rules, but it is as it is for now.

Please, bear it in mind if you want to try out the strategies. **Overall I give TFT Gold, Silver and LTD 3 stars out of 5.**

[\[Click here to see the Total Football Trading sales page...\]](#)


[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



Total Football Trading The Paper Chaser – Final Review Summary



Discover The Secrets To Football Trading!

Learn The Strategies That Earn The Professionals over £100k per year!

23/01/2012

Sgt Colin Reviews TFT The Paper Chaser

Rating: ★★★★★

Hi Bloggers, **Inspector Colin** here, with the final review of **TFT The Paper Chaser**

The Paper Chaser is one of the trading strategies included in the Total Football Trading package. This is a pre kick off football match trading strategy, which requires a professional, disciplined approach, utilising a stop loss strategy. It only works in respect of evening games, so is only applicable for mid-week football.

So how did it do – and how did I find the system to use?

1. Results:

These were very good! After a dodgy start, when I was getting used to the trading approach, the trading methodology gave me consistent gains.

After 3 days I'd made 3 trades (with an initial 100 point liability on each), and lost 9 points (from my starting bank of 1000). That was the low point as I tasted some relatively early success, and ended **123.45 points up** at the end of the trial (after charging 5% [Betfair](#) commission) from **85** trades.

I only had 11 losing days out of the 36 days I traded on,

I had **48** winning trades (with 9 closing out at break-even) – a success rate of 58%

The best trade made 13.69 points, the worst (day 2) lost 6.86 points.

I found that I was similarly successful with both back & lay initial trades, and uncannily placed almost identical numbers of each type (43 back bets, 42 lay bets, gaining 57 & 63 points respectively). The most successful trades were where I initially backed teams at between evens & 5/4, or where I initially layed teams at between 1.3 & 1.5. However, the sample sizes were small, and these results are therefore not statistically that meaningful.

Bank start point:	1000
Gain	123.45
Final bank	1123.45

2. Ease of Use

2.1 Time involved

This is the downside of the approach, in that to use this system effectively, you need to have regular access to Betfair from early in the morning until kick off time in the evening. If you can't access Betfair regularly in the morning, this isn't a system for you! You need to be disciplined in ensuring that you don't trade when you can't be sure you can monitor the odds movements (unless you have access to a bot to apply a stop loss if the odds move against you). Unless you are working from home, that makes it difficult for most people, although you can access Betfair via a smartphone.

You also have plenty of days when there aren't many matches to select from, and you have to make sure you don't "force" selections because you haven't had a bet for a couple of days.

2.2. Stop Loss

As highlighted above, the approach includes applying a stop loss to the trades. The manual provides a recommended stop loss of 6 "ticks" per trade, but I found that that was too simplistic and would have resulted in significantly different risks being taken depending upon the odds. I devised my own table to identify the stop loss – which still ended up exposing me to varying risks (laying short priced favourites could be costly) but was an improvement on the single target.

I tried to use the GHB bot to automatically apply the stop loss. I initially had problems with that, and although it ended up working, I found that I could usually apply the stop loss manually effectively through regular monitoring.

2.3 Enjoyment

I enjoyed using this system, albeit I was trading to very small stakes and therefore taking minimal risks (just to test out the approach).

3. Risk

The rigorous use of a stop loss reduces the risk considerably. I ended up reporting the Return on Investment not in respect of the initial liability (which started out at 100 points) but as a percentage of the risk being taken on the trade if the stop loss was applied.

Here is one of the examples:

10th January. Real Madrid @ Malaga (Spanish Cup). Initially laid Real at odds of 1.46. For a liability of 100 points, the potential gain was 217.4 points (pre commission). The stop loss applied was to close out if the odds fell to 1.42. This would require 223.52 to be invested to close out at a loss of 6.12 points irrespective of the result. The "investment" wasn't really 100 points, but was only 6.12 points. This trade made 1.4 points (closing out at odds of 1.47) – therefore returned 22.9% on the risk investment.

Overall, the trades made an **ROI** on this methodology of **38.9%**. However, taking into account the amount of abortive time, it must be made clear that in order to make a decent profit, the initial betting bank (and stake) has to be pretty large. Using £100 liabilities per trade would have made £124 over 85 trades and 36 days of trading. That is only £3.40 per day! However, the overall risk taken on all the trades was only £316. In order to ratchet up the profits to a sensible level, each trade would have to be at least £300, which would at times have required a bank of £1500 (although the true risk would have been only around £60). *This is not a method to employ if you have a limited betting bank.*

4. Support


There wasn't a great deal of support required, although when I initially made a loss on a trade which many of their members made a profit on the vendors contacted me to ensure that I understood the system, and made some additional suggestions (such as limiting the maximum odds levels).

[\[Click here to see the Total Football Trading sales page...\]](#)

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#)



Total Football Trading The Underdog - Final Review



Discover The Secrets To Football Trading!

Learn The Strategies That Earn The Professionals over £100k per year!

23rd January 2012

Review Manager Rich Reviews TFT The Underdog

Rating: ★★★★★

Total Football Trading The Underdog, over a 12 week period, has achieved the following:

Profits take into account 5% Betfair commission.

I have done 28 trades, 18 have made a profit, 10 have made a loss.

Starting Bank £1,000

Review period total P/L +£93.65

Running Bank £1,093.65

This is a 9.3% profit, which is reasonable. The main downside is that trading opportunities are few and far between. It has averaged at just over 2 trades per week, although I have missed some Saturday afternoons. This however is not too bad because the Underdog system comes with nine other systems, so I expect you would monitor for underdog opportunities along with other trading opportunities.

To run the Underdog, you need to monitor matches live in-play for the first half of matches.

During the review the basic stakes were a lay of £25 at maximum odds of 3.50, so the max amount of the starting bank needed for each lay was £62.50. There is a trade out point for either when the trade is making a profit or when it is making a loss. The max loss was **-£50.25** with some other losses of approx **-£30** or **-£20**. The average loss was **-£17.78**. I was surprised that there were as many as 10 losing trades out of 28, but thanks to the relatively low loss amounts, the losses were quickly recovered by the profitable trades.

The max profit after 5% Betfair commission was **£18.30** with the average profit being **£15.08**.

As you can see the average profit is not far behind the average loss. Therefore, with 64.3% of the trades making a profit, this explains why we made a profit overall.

Ratings:

Profitability: Liquidity needed for each bet was £62.50 - total profit was £93.65 - pretty good! **4 stars**

Ease of use: Very easy **4 stars**

Risk: surprisingly low **4 stars**

Return on liability: Over 28 races the total liability was £497.84. £93.65 profit represents a ROL of 19% **3 stars**

Support & documentation: The manual is clear, thorough and easy. Tech support wasn't needed **4 stars**

Time needed: A lot of matches watched compared to the number of trades obtained **1 star**

Overall I give this a 3.5 star rating.

Rating: 

[\[Click here to see the Total Football Trading sales page...\]](#)

Current Reviews

[Return to Contents/Index](#)



This is a quick insight how each review is performing. The data in Blue is from BettingSystemTruths. The data in Dark Violet is from LayTheOdds.

Systems: These are a set of rules that are used to make selection criteria. These rules are hard and fast without room for interpretation or discretion.

Methods or Strategies: These services are based upon loose rules or advices that are applied, with skill, by the user of the service.

Tips: You receive tips from the service provider of back or lay bets and you back the tips to the recommended stakes or to level stakes.

Beaten Females

Reviewer Insp. John

Lay Tipping Service

Up to day 59	This Week		Cumulative
	P/L	500 point Start Bank	P/L
Rolling Stakes	51.42	414.31	-85.69

[See the Beaten Females Review pages here...](#)

[See the Beaten Females Sales pages here...](#)

Betting Pro Trades

Reviewer Insp. DJ

Trading Tips

The review starting bank has been increased to the recommended £2,000 level

Up to day 56	This Week		Cumulative
	P/L	£2,000 Start Bank	P/L
	-297.33	809.80	-1,190.20

[See the Betting Pro Trades Review pages here...](#)

[See the Betting Pro Trades Sales pages here...](#)

BettingFlow

Reviewer Insp. Karl

Backing Tips

Up to day 6	This Week		Cumulative
	P/L	50 point Start Bank	P/L
	32.15	82.15	32.15

[See the BettingFlow Review pages here...](#)

[See the BettingFlow Sales pages here...](#)

Cricket Tipster

Reviewer Insp. Steve

Backing Tips

Up to day 7	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	100 point Start Bank	P/L
	-2.30	98.20	-1.80

[See the Cricket Tipster Review pages here...](#)

[See the Cricket Tipster Sales pages here...](#)

Gold Horse Racing Riches

Reviewer Insp. Lucian

Backing Tips

Up to day 40	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	100 points Start Bank	P/L
Green System	-9.84	80.93	-19.07
Gold System	-4.80	108.37	8.37

[See the Gold Horse Racing Riches Review pages here...](#)

[See the Gold Horse Racing Riches Sales pages here...](#)

Greyhound Lay Pro

Reviewer Sgt Lucian

Lay Software

Up to day 14	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	100 point Start Bank	P/L
	-5.15	111.40	11.40

[See the Greyhound Lay Pro Review pages here...](#)

[See the Greyhound Lay Pro sales pages here...](#)

Magic Backing Bot

Reviewer Sgt Colin

Automated Tips

Up to day 74	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	75 point Start Bank	P/L
	-3.93	97.08	22.08

[See the Magic Backing Bot Review pages here...](#)

[See the Magic Backing Bot Sales pages here...](#)

Nigel Hunters Black Book

Reviewer Insp. Howard

Backing Tips Service

Up to day 55	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	100 point Start Bank	P/L
	-2.42	91.91	-8.09

[See the Nigel Hunters Black Book Review pages here...](#)

[See the Nigel Hunters Black Book Sales pages here...](#)

Partners In Profit

Reviewer Insp. Nige

Backing System

Up to day 9	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	100 point Start Bank	P/L
	-55.86	44.14	-55.86

[See the Partners In Profit Review pages here...](#)

[See the Partners In Profit Sales pages here...](#)

Place Bet Pro

Reviewer Sgt Steve

Place Betting System

Up to day 14	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	\$1000 Start Bank	P/L
	-28.10	1,118.40	118.40

[See the Place Bet Pro Review pages here...](#)

[See the Place Bet Pro Sales pages here...](#)

Smart Racing Trader

Reviewer Sgt Howard

Trading Methods

Up to day 8	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	1000 point Start Bank	P/L
Smart Sequencer	0.00	1,000.00	0.00
NHF Trading	34.06	1,050.23	50.23

[See the Smart Racing Trader Review pages here...](#)

[See the Smart Racing Trader Sales pages here...](#)

Soccer Betting HQ

Reviewer Insp. Josh

Trading Methods

There are 5 strategies with a start bank of 100 on each – Nothing reported this week

Up to day 15	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	500 points Start Bank	P/L
	0.00	546.97	46.97

[See the Soccer Betting HQ Review pages here...](#)

[See the Soccer Betting HQ Sales pages here...](#)

SSP – American Sports

Reviewer Sgt Lucian

Backing Tips Service

Nothing reported this week

Up to day 14	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	100 point Start Bank	P/L
NBA	0.00	97.26	-2.74
NFL	0.00	103.41	3.41
NHL	0.00	97.59	-2.41

[See the SSP - American Sports Review pages here...](#)

[See the SSP American Sports Sales pages here...](#)

Winning Trainers

Reviewer Insp. Tommy

Backing Tips Service

Up to day 50	This Week	Cumulative	
	P/L	1,000 points Start Bank	P/L
Win Only	138.35	763.60	-236.40
Each Way	110.49	503.08	-496.92

[See the Winning Trainers Review pages here...](#)

[See the Winning Trainer Sales pages here...](#)

League Table of Current Reviews

[Return](#) 

A reasonable way of comparing the performance of systems is to work out the profit (or loss) as a percentage of the starting bank. Obviously this table doesn't replace reading the reviews, because *profit as %age of bank* is not the only factor in judging a system. Nevertheless, it is a valid at-a-glance way of bringing the mass of data together.

I've colour coded the reviews that are the currently most successful, with the colour of their profitability graph (next newsletter section) to make them easier to spot.

This week's new star performer is BettingFlow. We'll have to see how it continues during the review period.

System Reviewed	Start Bank	Total Profit /Loss	Profit as %age of Bank	Position Last Week	Position This Week
BettingFlow	50	32.15	64.30%	N/A	1
Magic Backing Bot	75	22.08	29.44%	1	2
Place Bet Pro	1000	118.40	11.84%	3	3
Greyhound Lay Pro	100	11.40	11.40%	2	4
Soccer Betting HQ	500	46.97	9.39%	5	5
Gold Horse Gold System	100	8.37	8.37%	4	6
Smart Racing NHF	1000	50.23	5.02%	7	7
SSP American NFL	100	3.41	3.41%	6	8
Smart Racing Sequencer	1000	0.00	0.00%	9	9
Cricket Tipster	100	-1.80	-1.80%	8	10
SSP American NHL	100	-2.41	-2.41%	10	11
SSP American NBA	100	-2.74	-2.74%	11	12
Nigel Hunters Black Book	100	-8.09	-8.09%	12	13
Beaten Females	500	-85.69	-17.14%	14	14
Gold Horse Green System	100	-19.07	-19.07%	13	15
Winning Trainers Win Only	1000	-236.40	-23.64%	15	16
Winning Trainers Each Way	1000	-496.92	-49.69%	18	17
Partners In Profit	100	-55.86	-55.86%	N/A	18
Betting Pro Trades	2000	-1190.20	-59.51%	16	19

[Return to Contents/Index of this newsletter](#) 

Profitability Graph

[Return to Contents/Index](#)



Here is a graph of the profit being made by the front-runners as a percentage of their starting bank. This gives a week by week comparative view of their progress.

It gives a representation of the volatility or dependability of each system. Ideally we would want a graph that increased each week by roughly the same amount. If a system or tipping service could produce that, then we would really have a winning review!

BettingFlow has shot to the top of the chart, but it is early days. Magic Backing, Greyhound Lay Pro and Place Bet Pro have dipped a bit this week, but are still doing well.

Profit as a %age of starting bank



Next Week & Signing Off

[Return to Contents/Index](#)



This is Rich signing off. Remember to read the full blogger's review for anything that interests you at <http://www.bettingsystemtruths.com/>, and <http://laytheodds.com> so that you can get a feel for how the service pans out on a day by day basis. Look out for the next BST newsletter in your e-mails next Wednesday.

**Regards,
Rich**